arXiv:1702.06596v3 [math.CO] 12 Apr 2017

A Dual Ramsey Theorem for Permutations

Dragan Mašulović
University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
e-mail: dragan.masulovic@dmi.uns.ac.rs

April 13, 2017

Abstract

In 2012 M. Sokić proved that the that the class of all finite permutations has the Ramsey property. Using different strategies the same result was then reproved in 2013 by J. Böttcher and J. Foniok, in 2014 by M. Bodirsky and in 2015 yet another proof was provided by M. Sokić.

Using the categorical reinterpretation of the Ramsey property in this paper we prove that the class of all finite permutations has the dual Ramsey property as well. It was Leeb who pointed out in 1970 that the use of category theory can be quite helpful both in the formulation and in the proofs of results pertaining to structural Ramsey theory. In this paper we argue that this is even more the case when dealing with the dual Ramsey property.

Key Words: dual Ramsey property, finite permutations
AMS Subj. Classification (2010): 05C55, 18A99

1 Introduction

Generalizing the classical results of F. P. Ramsey from the late 1920's, the structural Ramsey theory originated at the beginning of 1970's in a series of papers (see [12] for references). We say that a class $\mathbf{K}$ of finite structures has the *Ramsey property* if the following holds: for any number $k \geqslant 2$ of colors and all $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ embeds into $\mathcal{B}$ there is a $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{K}$ such that no matter how we color the copies of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with k colors, there is a *monochromatic* copy $\mathcal{B}'$ of $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ (that is, all the copies of $\mathcal{A}$ that fall within $\mathcal{B}'$ are colored by the same color). Many classes of structures were shown to

have the Ramsey property: finite linearly ordered graphs [1, 14], finite posets together with an additional linear extension of the poset ordering [15], finite linearly ordered metric spaces [13], and so on.

In 2012 M. Sokić proved that the that the class of all finite permutations has the Ramsey property [18]. Using different strategies the same result was then reproved in 2013 by J. Böttcher and J. Foniok [4], in 2014 by M. Bodirsky [3] and in 2015 yet another proof was provided by M. Sokić. Discussing the Ramsey property in the context of permutations relies on P. J. Cameron's reinterpretation of permutations in model-theoretic terms [5] as follows. From a traditional point of view a permutation of a set A is any bijection $f : A \to A$. If A is finite, say $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$, then each permutation $f : A \to A$ can be represented as $f = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \ldots & a_n \\ a_{i_1} & a_{i_2} & \ldots & a_{i_n} \end{pmatrix}$. So, in order to specify a permutation it suffices to specify two linear orders on A: the "standard" order $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n$ on A, and the permuted order $a_{i_1} \sqsubset a_{i_2} \sqsubset \ldots \sqsubset a_{i_n}$. In this paper we adopt P. J. Cameron's point of view and say that a *permutation* is a triple $(A, <, \sqsubset)$ where $<$ and $\sqsubset$ are linear orders on A.

Using the categorical reinterpretation of the Ramsey property as proposed in [11] we prove in this paper that the class of finite permutations has the dual Ramsey property. Instead of embeddings, which are crucial for the notion of a subpermutation in the above "direct" Ramsey result, we shall consider special surjective maps that we refer to as quotient maps for permutations. These quotient maps are strongly motivated by the notion of minors for permutations suggested, in a different context, by E. Lehtonen in [10].

It was Leeb who pointed out in 1970 [9] that the use of category theory can be quite helpful both in the formulation and in the proofs of results pertaining to structural Ramsey theory. In this paper we argue that this is even more the case when dealing with the dual Ramsey property. Our strategy is to take a "direct" Ramsey result, provide a purely categorical proof of the result and then capitalize on the Duality Principle (an intrinsic principle of category theory) which states that if a statement is true in a category $\mathbf{C}$ then the dual of the statement is true in the opposite category $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

In Section 2 we give a brief overview of standard notions referring to finite linearly ordered sets and category theory, and conclude with the reinterpretation of the Ramsey property in the language of category theory. In Section 3 we consider two ways to transfer the Ramsey property from a category to another category. We first show a Ramsey-type theorem for products of categories generalizing thus the Finite Product Ramsey Theo-

rem for Finite Structures of M. Sokić [19], and then prove a simple result which enables us to transfer the Ramsey property from a category to its (not necessarily full) subcategory. Using these two "transfer principles", starting from a categorical reinterpretation of the Finite Dual Ramsey Theorem we infer in Section 4 a dual Ramsey theorem for the category of finite permutations.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give a brief overview of standard notions referring to linearly ordered sets and category theory, and conclude with the reinterpretation of the Ramsey property in the language of category theory.

2.1 Chains and permutations

A *chain* is a pair $(A, <)$ where $<$ is a linear (= total) order on A. In case A is finite, instead of $(A, <)$ we shall simply write $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n\}$.

It is easy to see that a map $f : A \to B$ between two chains $(A, <)$ and $(B, <)$ is an embedding if and only if $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) < f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

Let $(A, <)$ and $(B, \sqsubset)$ be chains such that $A \cap B = \varnothing$. Then $(A \cup B, < \,\&\, \sqsubset)$ denotes the *concatenation* of $(A, <)$ and $(B, \sqsubset)$, which is a chain on $A \cup B$ such that every element of A is smaller then every element of B, the elements in A are ordered linearly by $<$, and the elements of B are ordered linearly by $\sqsubset$.

A *permutation* on a set A is a triple $(A, <, \sqsubset)$ where $<$ and $\sqsubset$ are linear orders on A [5]. Again it is easy to see that an embedding of a permutation $(A, <, \sqsubset)$ into a permutation $(B, <, \sqsubset)$ is a map $f : A \to B$ such that $x < y \Rightarrow f(x) < f(y)$, and $x \sqsubset y \Rightarrow f(x) \sqsubset f(y)$, for all $x, y \in A$.

2.2 Categories and functors

In order to specify a *category* $\mathbf{C}$ one has to specify a class of objects $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$, a set of morphisms $\hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ for all $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$, the identity morphism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$, and the composition of morphisms $\cdot$ so that $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot f = f \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for all $f \in \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, and $(f \cdot g) \cdot h = f \cdot (g \cdot h)$. A morphism $f \in \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(B, C)$ is *monic* or *left cancellable* if $f \cdot g = f \cdot h$ implies $g = h$ for all $g, h \in \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$ where $A \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ is arbitrary.

Example 2.1 Finite chains and embeddings constitute a category that we denote by **Ch**.

Example 2.2 Following [16] we say that a surjection $f : \{a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n\} \to \{b_1 < b_2 < \ldots < b_k\}$ between two finite chains is *rigid* if $\min f^{-1}(b_i) < \min f^{-1}(b_j)$ whenever $i < j$. Equivalently, f is rigid if for every $s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there is a $t \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $f(\{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}) = \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\}$. (In other words, a rigid surjection maps an initial segment of a chain onto an initial segment of the other chain. Other than that, a rigid surjection is not required to respect the linear orders in question.)

The composition of two rigid surjections is again a rigid surjection, so finite chains and rigid surjections constitute a category which we denote by $\mathbf{Ch}_{rs}$.

Example 2.3 Let us now give an example of a category whose objects are not structures and morphisms are not special maps. Consider a finite, acyclic, bipartite digraph with loops where all the arrows go from one class of vertices into the other and the out-degree of all the vertices in the first class is 2 (modulo loops):



Such a digraph can be thought of as a category where vertices represent objects and arrows represent morphisms (the loops represent the identity morphisms). Such a category will be referred to as a *binary category*. All the compositions in a binary category are trivial since no nonidentity morphisms are composable.

A category $\mathbf{D}$ is a *subcategory* of a category $\mathbf{C}$ if $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ for all $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$. A category $\mathbf{D}$ is a *full subcategory* of a category $\mathbf{C}$ if $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ for all $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$.

A *functor* $F : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ from a category $\mathbf{C}$ to a category $\mathbf{D}$ maps $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ to $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and maps morphisms of $\mathbf{C}$ to morphisms of $\mathbf{D}$ so that $F(f) \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(F(\mathcal{A}), F(\mathcal{B}))$ whenever $f \in \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, $F(f \cdot g) = F(f) \cdot F(g)$ whenever $f \cdot g$ is defined, and $F(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathrm{id}_{F(\mathcal{A})}$.

Categories $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are *isomorphic* if there exist functors $F : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}$ and $G : \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{C}$ which are inverses of one another both on objects and on morphisms.

The *product* of categories $\mathbf{C}_1$ and $\mathbf{C}_2$ is the category $\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2$ whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ where $\mathcal{A}_1 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}_2)$, morphisms are pairs $(f_1, f_2) : (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2) \to (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ where $f_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_1$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}_1$ and $f_2 : \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{B}_2$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}_2$. The composition of morphisms is carried out componentwise: $(f_1, f_2) \cdot (g_1, g_2) = (f_1 \cdot g_1, f_2 \cdot g_2)$. Clearly, if $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ are objects of $\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2$ then

$$\hom_{\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \hom_{\mathbf{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1) \times \hom_{\mathbf{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_2).$$

For a detailed account of notions of category theory we refer the reader to [2].

2.3 The Ramsey property in the language of category theory

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and $\mathcal{S}$ a set. We say that $\mathcal{S} = \Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_k$ is a *k-coloring* of $\mathcal{S}$ if $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = \varnothing$ whenever $i \neq j$. For an integer $k \geqslant 2$ and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ we write $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B})^{\mathcal{A}}_k$ to denote that for every k-coloring $\hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) = \Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_k$ there is an $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and a morphism $w \in \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ such that $w \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq \Sigma_i$.

Definition 2.1 A category $\mathbf{C}$ has the *Ramsey property* if for every integer $k \geqslant 2$ and all $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ such that $\hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \neq \varnothing$ there is a $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ such that $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B})^{\mathcal{A}}_k$. A category $\mathbf{C}$ has the *dual Ramsey property* if $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ has the Ramsey property.

Clearly, if $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are isomorphic categories, then one of them has the (dual) Ramsey property if and only if the other one does.

Example 2.4 The category $\mathbf{Ch}$ of finite chains and embeddings (Example 2.1) has the Ramsey property. This is just a reformulation of the Finite Ramsey Theorem [17]:

> For all positive integers k, a, m there is a positive integer n such that for every n-element set C and every k-coloring of the set $\binom{C}{a}$ of all a-element subsets of C there is an m-element subset B of C such that $\binom{B}{a}$ is monochromatic.

Example 2.5 The category $\mathbf{Ch}_{rs}$ of finite chains and rigid surjections (Example 2.2) has the dual Ramsey property. This is just a reformulation of the Finite Dual Ramsey Theorem [6]:

For all positive integers k, a, m there is a positive integer n such that for every n-element set C and every k-coloring of the set $\binom{C}{a}$ of all partitions of C with exactly a blocks there is a partition β of C with exactly m blocks such that the set of all partitions from $\binom{C}{a}$ which are coarser than β is monochromatic.

Namely, it was observed in [16] that each partition of a finite linearly ordered set can be uniquely represented by the rigid surjection which takes each element of the underlying set to the minimum of the block it belongs to.

3 Transferring the Ramsey property between categories

A typical generalization of the Finite Ramsey Theorem is the Finite Product Ramsey Theorem (Theorem 5 in [7, Ch. 5.1]) which provides a Ramsey-type result for finite tuples of finite sets. This classical theorem was generalized by M. Sokić to Finite Product Ramsey Theorem for Finite Structures in [19] where instead of finite tuples of finite sets we deal with finite tuples of finite structures. We shall now provide a further generalization of this result in the form of a Ramsey theorem for products of categories. The benefit of such a general result is that we can then invoke Duality Principle of category theory to automatically infer statements about the dual Ramsey property.

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $\mathbf{C}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{C}_2$ *be categories such that* $\hom_{\mathbf{C}_i}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ *is finite for each* $i \in \{1,2\}$ *and all* $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}_i)$.

(*a*) *If* $\mathbf{C}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{C}_2$ *both have the Ramsey property then* $\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2$ *has the Ramsey property.*

(*b*) *If* $\mathbf{C}_1$ *and* $\mathbf{C}_2$ *both have the dual Ramsey property then* $\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2$ *has the dual Ramsey property.*

Proof. (*a*) Take any $k \geqslant 2$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ in $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2)$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and let us show that there is a $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2)$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{B}})^{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}_k$. Take $\mathcal{C}_1 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C}_2)$ so that $\mathcal{C}_1 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B}_1)^{\mathcal{A}_1}_k$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B}_2)^{\mathcal{A}_2}_{k^t}$, where t is the cardinality of $\hom_{\mathbf{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{C}_1)$. Put $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2)$.

To show that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow (\tilde{\mathcal{B}})^{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}_k$ take any coloring

$$\chi : \hom_{\mathbf{C}_1\times\mathbf{C}_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}},\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) \to \{1,\dots,k\}.$$

Since $\hom_{\mathbf{C}_1\times\mathbf{C}_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}},\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \hom_{\mathbf{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{C}_1) \times \hom_{\mathbf{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{C}_2)$, the coloring χ uniquely induces the k^t-coloring

$$\chi' : \hom_{\mathbf{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{C}_2) \to \{1,\dots,k\}^{\hom_{\mathbf{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{C}_1)}.$$

By construction, $\mathcal{C}_2 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B}_2)^{\mathcal{A}_2}_{k^t}$, so there is a $w_2 : \mathcal{B}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ such that $w_2 \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is χ'-monochromatic. Let

$$\chi'' : \hom_{\mathbf{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1) \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$$

be the k-coloring defined by

$$\chi''(e_1) = \chi(e_1, e)$$

for some $e \in w_2 \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$. (Note that χ'' is well defined because $w_2 \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{C}_2}(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is χ'-monochromatic.) Since $\mathbf{C}_1$ has the Ramsey property there is a morphism $w_1 : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_1$ such that $w_1 \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{C}_1}(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ is χ''-monochromatic. It is now easy to show that for $\tilde{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ we have that $\tilde{w} \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2}((\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2), (\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2))$ is χ-monochromatic.

(b) Assume now that both $\mathbf{C}_1$ and $\mathbf{C}_2$ have the dual Ramsey property. Then $\mathbf{C}_1^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $\mathbf{C}_2^{\mathrm{op}}$ have the Ramsey property, whence $\mathbf{C}_1^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{C}_2^{\mathrm{op}}$ has the Ramsey property by (a). By definition, the category $(\mathbf{C}_1^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{C}_2^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathbf{C}_1 \times \mathbf{C}_2$ then has the dual Ramsey property. □

Another way of transferring the Ramsey property is from a category to its subcategory. (For many deep results obtained in this fashion see [8].) We shall now present a simple result which enables us to transfer the Ramsey property from a category to its (not necessarily full) subcategory.

A *diagram* in a category $\mathbf{C}$ is a functor $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{C}$ where the category Δ is referred to as the *shape of the diagram*. Intuitively, a diagram in $\mathbf{C}$ is an arrangement of objects and morphisms in $\mathbf{C}$ that has the shape of Δ. A diagram $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{C}$ is *consistent in* $\mathbf{C}$ if there exists a $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and a family of morphisms $(e_\delta : F(\delta) \to \mathcal{C})_{\delta \in \mathrm{Ob}(\Delta)}$ such that for every morphism $g : \delta \to \gamma$ in Δ we have $e_\gamma \cdot F(g) = e_\delta$:



(see Fig. 1 for an illustration). We say that $\mathcal{C}$ together with the family of morphisms $(e_\delta)_{\delta \in \mathrm{Ob}(\Delta)}$ forms a *compatible cone in* $\mathbf{C}$ *over the diagram* F.

A *binary diagram* in a category $\mathbf{C}$ is a functor $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{C}$ where Δ is a binary category (Example 2.3), F takes the bottom row of Δ onto the same object, and takes the top row of Δ onto the same object, Fig. 2. A subcategory $\mathbf{D}$ of a category $\mathbf{C}$ is *closed for binary diagrams* if every binary diagram $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{D}$ which is consistent in $\mathbf{C}$ is also consistent in $\mathbf{D}$.



Figure 1: A consistent diagram in $\mathbf{C}$ (of shape Δ)



Figure 2: A binary diagram in $\mathbf{C}$ (of shape Δ)

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $\mathbf{C}$ *be a category such that every morphism in* $\mathbf{C}$ *is monic and such that* $\hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ *is finite for all* $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$*, and let* $\mathbf{D}$ *be a (not necessarily full) subcategory of* $\mathbf{C}$*. If* $\mathbf{C}$ *has the Ramsey property and* $\mathbf{D}$ *is closed for binary diagrams, then* $\mathbf{D}$ *has the Ramsey property.*

Proof. Take any $k \geqslant 2$ and $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ such that $\hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \neq \varnothing$. Since $\mathbf{D}$ is a subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ has the Ramsey property, there is a $\mathcal{C} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ such that $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B})^{\mathcal{A}}_{k}$.

Let us now construct a binary diagram in $\mathbf{D}$ as follows. Let $\hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}) = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$. Intuitively, for each $e_i \in \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$ we add a copy of $\mathcal{B}$ to the diagram, and whenever $e_i \cdot u = e_j \cdot v$ for some $u, v \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ we add a copy of $\mathcal{A}$ to the diagram together with two arrows: one going into the ith copy of $\mathcal{B}$ labelled by u and another one going into the jth copy of $\mathcal{B}$ labelled by v:



Note that, by the construction, this diagram is consistent in $\mathbf{C}$.

Formally, let Δ be the binary category whose objects are

$$\mathrm{Ob}(\Delta) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \cup \{(u, v, i, j) : 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n;\ i \neq j;\\ u, v \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B});\ e_i \cdot u = e_j \cdot v\}$$

and whose nonidentity arrows are

$$\hom_{\Delta}((u, v, i, j), i) = \{u\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hom_{\Delta}((u, v, i, j), j) = \{v\}.$$

Let $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{D}$ be the following diagram whose action on objects is:

$$\begin{aligned} F(i) &= \mathcal{B}, & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\\ F((u, v, i, j)) &= \mathcal{A}, & e_i \cdot u = e_j \cdot v, \end{aligned}$$

and whose action on nonidentity morphisms is $F(g) = g$:



As we have already observed in the informal discussion above, the diagram $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{D}$ is consistent in $\mathbf{C}$, so, by the assumption, it is consistent in $\mathbf{D}$ as well. Therefore, there is a $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and morphisms $f_i : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, such that the following diagram in $\mathbf{D}$ commutes:



Let us show that in $\mathbf{D}$ we have $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B})^{\mathcal{A}}_k$. Take any k-coloring

$$\hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}) = \Sigma_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_k,$$

and define a k-coloring

$$\hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) = \Sigma'_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma'_k$$

as follows. For $j \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ let

$$\Sigma'_j = \{e_s \cdot u : 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n, u \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), f_s \cdot u \in \Sigma_j\},$$

and then let

$$\Sigma'_1 = \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \setminus \bigcup_{j=2}^{k} \Sigma'_j.$$

Let us show that $\Sigma'_i \cap \Sigma'_j = \varnothing$ whenever $i \neq j$. By the definition of Σ'_1 it suffices to consider the case where $i \geqslant 2$ and $j \geqslant 2$. Assume, to the contrary, that there is an $h \in \Sigma'_i \cap \Sigma'_j$ for some $i \neq j$, $i \geqslant 2$, $j \geqslant 2$. Then $h = e_s \cdot u$ for some s and some $u \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})$ such that $f_s \cdot u \in \Sigma_i$ and $h = e_t \cdot v$ for some

t and some $v \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})$ such that $f_t \cdot v \in \Sigma_j$. Then $e_s \cdot u = h = e_t \cdot v$. Clearly, $s \neq t$ and we have that $(u, v, s, t) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\Delta)$. (Suppose, to the contrary, that $s = t$. Then $e_s \cdot u = e_t \cdot v$ implies $u = v$ because $e_s = e_t$ and all the morphisms in $\mathbf{C}$ are monic. But then $\Sigma_i \ni f_s \cdot u = f_t \cdot v \in \Sigma_j$, which contradicts the assumption that and $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = \varnothing$.) Consequently, $f_s \cdot u = f_t \cdot v$ because $\mathcal{D}$ and morphisms $f_i : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, witness the fact that the diagram $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{D}$ is consistent in $\mathbf{D}$. Therefore, $f_s \cdot u = f_t \cdot v \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$, which is not possible.

Since, by construction, $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B})^{\mathcal{A}}_k$, there is an $e_\ell \in \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ and a j such that $e_\ell \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq \Sigma'_j$. Let us show that

$$f_\ell \cdot \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq \Sigma_j.$$

Assume, first, that $j \geqslant 2$ and take any $u \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Since $e_\ell \cdot u \in \Sigma'_j$ it follows by the definition of Σ'_j that $f_\ell \cdot u \in \Sigma_j$.

Assume now that $j = 1$ and take any $u \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Suppose that $f_\ell \cdot u \notin \Sigma_1$. Then $f_\ell \cdot u \in \Sigma_m$ for some $m \geqslant 2$. But then $e_\ell \cdot u \in \Sigma'_m$. On the other hand, $e_\ell \cdot u \in \Sigma'_1$ by assumption ($j = 1$). This is in contradiction with the construction of Σ'_1. □

4 A dual Ramsey theorem for permutations

Every dual Ramsey theorem relies on some notion of a "surjective structure map". In case of permutations an appropriate notion has been suggested, in a different context, by E. Lehtonen in [10, Section 6] as follows. Let $\sigma = a_{i_1} \sqsubset a_{i_2} \sqsubset \ldots \sqsubset a_{i_n}$ be a permutation of a finite linearly ordered set $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n\}$ and let Π be a partition of A. Define $f_\Pi : A \to A$ by $f_\Pi(x) = \min_<([x]_\Pi)$, where $[x]_\Pi$ denotes the block of Π that contains x and $\min_<$ means that the minimum is taken with respect to $<$ (the "standard" ordering of A). Then take the tuple $f_\Pi(\sigma) = (f_\Pi(a_{i_1}), f_\Pi(a_{i_2}), \ldots, f_\Pi(a_{i_n}))$ and remove the repeated elements leaving only the first occurrence of each. What remains is a permutation of $f_\Pi(A)$ that we refer to as the *quotient of σ by Π*.

Example 4.1 Let $A = \{0 < 1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < 9\}$ be a finite linearly ordered set and let

$$\sigma = 6 \sqsubset 7 \sqsubset 9 \sqsubset 3 \sqsubset 2 \sqsubset 8 \sqsubset 4 \sqsubset 1 \sqsubset 0 \sqsubset 5$$

be a permutation of A. Let Π be the following partition of A:

$$\Pi = \big\{\{0,1,4,9\},\{2,6,8\},\{3,7\},\{5\}\big\}.$$

Then

$$f_\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$f_\Pi(\sigma) = (2,3,0,3,2,2,0,0,0,5).$$

Finally the quotient of σ by Π is the permutation $2 \prec 3 \prec 0 \prec 5$ of the ordered set $f_\Pi(A) = \{0 < 2 < 3 < 5\}$.

Definition 4.1 (cf. [10, Section 6]) Let $(A, <, \sqsubset)$ and $(B, <, \sqsubset)$ be finite permutations. We say that a surjective map $f : A \to B$ is a *quotient map for permutations* if f is a rigid surjection from $(A, <)$ to $(B, <)$ as well as a rigid surjection from $(A, \sqsubset)$ to $(B, \sqsubset)$. Let $\mathbf{Perm}_{quo}$ denote the category whose objects are finite permutations and whose morphisms are quotient maps for permutations.

Example 4.2 Let us write the surjective map $f_\Pi : A \to f_\Pi(A)$ from Example 4.1 first as the rigid surjection $f_\Pi : (A, <) \to (f_\Pi(A), <)$ and then as the rigid surjection $f_\Pi : (A, \sqsubset) \to (f_\Pi(A), \prec)$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\Pi &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{rigid w.r.t.} \begin{pmatrix} < \\ < \end{pmatrix}, \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 7 & 9 & 3 & 2 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, && \text{rigid w.r.t.} \begin{pmatrix} \sqsubset \\ \prec \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2 (The Dual Ramsey Theorem for Permutations) *The category* $\mathbf{Perm}_{quo}$ *has the dual Ramsey property.*

Proof. The category $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Ch}_{rs}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{Ch}_{rs}^{\mathrm{op}}$ has the Ramsey property (Example 2.5 and Theorem 3.1). Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the following subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$: objects of $\mathbf{D}$ are pairs of ordered sets $((A, <), (A, \sqsubset))$ over the same finite set, and morphisms of $\mathbf{D}$ are pairs $(f, f) : ((A, <), (A, \sqsubset)) \to ((B, <), (B, \sqsubset))$ such that both $f : (A, <) \to (B, <)$ and $f : (A, \sqsubset) \to (B, \sqsubset)$ are rigid surjections. It is easy to see that the categories $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{Perm}_{quo}$ are isomorphic, so, following Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{D}$ is a subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$ closed for binary diagrams.

Take any $\mathcal{A} = ((A, <), (A, \sqsubset))$ and $\mathcal{B} = ((B, <), (B, \sqsubset))$ in $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and let $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{D}$ be a binary diagram which is consistent in $\mathbf{C}$. Let

$((C, <^C), (D, \sqsubset^D))$ together with the morphisms $e_i = (f_i, g_i)$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, be a compatible cone in $\mathbf{C}$ over F:



Without loss of generality we may assume that $C \cap D = \varnothing$. Recall that $f_i : (C, <^C) \to (B, <)$ and $g_i : (D, \sqsubset^D) \to (B, \sqsubset)$ are rigid surjections; the arrows in the diagram point in the opposite direction because $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Ch}_{rs}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{Ch}_{rs}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = ((C \cup D, <^C \,\&\, \sqsubset^D), (C \cup D, \sqsubset^D \,\&\, <^C))$ and for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ define $\varphi_i : C \cup D \to B$ as follows

$$\varphi_i(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(x), & x \in C, \\ g_i(x), & x \in D. \end{cases}$$

Since $f_i : (C, <^C) \to (B, <)$ is a rigid surjection, it easily follows that $\varphi_i : (C \cup D, <^C \,\&\, \sqsubset^D) \to (B, <)$ is a rigid surjection. Analogously, since $g_i : (D, \sqsubset^D) \to (B, \sqsubset)$ is a rigid surjection, so is $\varphi_i : (C \cup D, \sqsubset^D \,\&\, <^C) \to (B, \sqsubset)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and $(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) \in \hom_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ for all i.



It is very easy to check that $(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) \cdot (u, u) = (\varphi_i, \varphi_i) \cdot (v, v)$ whenever $e_i \cdot (u, u) = e_j \cdot (v, v)$. Assume that $e_i \cdot (u, u) = e_j \cdot (v, v)$. Then $u \circ f_i = v \circ f_j$ and $u \circ g_i = v \circ g_j$ (because $f \cdot_{\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}} u = u \cdot_{\mathbf{C}} f = u \circ f$ in this case). Now, take any $x \in C \cup D$. If $x \in C$ then $u \circ \varphi_i(x) = u \circ f_i(x) = v \circ f_j(x) = v \circ \varphi_j(x)$. If, on the other hand, $x \in D$ then $u \circ \varphi_i(x) = u \circ g_i(x) = v \circ g_j(x) = v \circ \varphi_j(x)$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

5 Addendum: An alternative proof of the Ramsey property for permutations

In this section we provide an alternative proof of the Ramsey property for the class of all finite permutations using analogous categorical strategies. The proof we present here turns out to be a categorical analogue of the proof presented in [20].

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, <)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (B, \sqsubset)$ be two linearly ordered sets. We define their *lexicographic product* $\mathcal{A} \times_{lex} \mathcal{B}$, and their *antilexicographic product* $\mathcal{A} \times_{alex} \mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} \times_{lex} \mathcal{B} = (A \times B, \prec_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} \times_{alex} \mathcal{B} = (A \times B, \prec_2),$$

where

$$(a, b) \prec_1 (a', b') \text{ iff } a < a', \text{ or } a = a' \text{ and } b < b';$$
$$(a, b) \prec_2 (a', b') \text{ iff } b < b', \text{ or } b = b' \text{ and } a < a'.$$

Theorem 5.1 *[4] The category* **Perm** *whose objects are finite permutations and morphisms are embeddings has the Ramsey property.*

Proof. The category $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Ch} \times \mathbf{Ch}$ has the Ramsey property (Theorem 3.1 and Example 2.4). Let $\mathbf{D}$ be the following subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$: objects of $\mathbf{D}$ are pairs of ordered sets $((A, <), (A, \sqsubset))$ over the same finite set, and morphisms of $\mathbf{D}$ are pairs $(f, f) : ((A, <), (A, \sqsubset)) \to ((B, <), (B, \sqsubset))$ such that both $f : (A, <) \to (B, <)$ and $f : (A, \sqsubset) \to (B, \sqsubset)$ are embeddings. It is easy to see that the categories $\mathbf{D}$ and **Perm** are isomorphic, so, following Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{D}$ is a subcategory of $\mathbf{C}$ closed for binary diagrams.

Take any $\mathcal{A} = ((A, <), (A, \sqsubset))$ and $\mathcal{B} = ((B, <), (B, \sqsubset))$ in $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and let $F : \Delta \to \mathbf{D}$ be a binary diagram which is consistent in $\mathbf{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \big((C_1, <^{C_1}), (C_2, \sqsubset^{C_2})\big) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})$ together with the morphisms $(e_i, f_i) : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, be a compatible cone in $\mathbf{C}$ for this diagram.



Let $\mathcal{B} = \big((B, <^B), (B, \sqsubset^B)\big)$ and let $\mathcal{D} = \big((D, <^D), (D, \sqsubset^D)\big)$ where $D = C_1 \times C_2$, $<^D = <^{C_1} \times_{lex} \sqsubset^{C_2}$ and $\sqsubset^D = <^{C_1} \times_{alex} \sqsubset^{C_2}$. Next, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ let $\varphi_i : B \to D : b \mapsto (e_i(b), f_i(b))$.

Fix and i and let us show that (φ_i, φ_i) is a morphism from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. It is easy to see that $\varphi_i : (B, <^B) \to (D, <^D)$ is monotonous. Take any $b, b' \in B$ such that $b <^B b'$. Then $e_i(b) <^{C_1} e_i(b')$ whence $\varphi_i(b) = (e_i(b), f_i(b)) <^D (e_i(b'), f_i(b')) = \varphi_i(b')$, by the definition of $<^D$. Analogously, $\varphi_i : (B, \sqsubset^B) \to (D, \sqsubset^D)$ is monotonous. Therefore, (φ_i, φ_i) is indeed a morphism from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{D}$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{D})$ and (φ_i, φ_i) is a morphism in $\mathbf{D}$ for each i. In order to complete the proof, we still have to show that $\mathcal{D}$ together with the morphisms (φ_i, φ_i), $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, constitutes a compatible cone in $\mathbf{D}$ for the diagram F. In other words, we have to show that $(e_i, f_i) \cdot (u, u) = (e_j, f_j) \cdot (v, v)$ implies $(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) \cdot (u, u) = (\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cdot (v, v)$. Assume, therefore, that $(e_i, f_i) \cdot (u, u) = (e_j, f_j) \cdot (v, v)$. Then $e_i \cdot u = e_j \cdot v$ and $f_i \cdot u = f_j \cdot v$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_i, \varphi_i) \cdot (u, u) &= (\varphi_i \cdot u, \varphi_i \cdot u) \\
&= \big((e_i \cdot u, f_i \cdot u), (e_i \cdot u, f_i \cdot u)\big) \\
&= \big((e_j \cdot v, f_j \cdot v), (e_j \cdot v, f_j \cdot v)\big) \\
&= (\varphi_j \cdot v, \varphi_j \cdot v) \\
&= (\varphi_j, \varphi_j) \cdot (v, v).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

6 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Erkko Lehtonen for a fruitful discussion which inspired this paper and Miodrag Sokić for many insightful remarks.

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Grant No. 174019 of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

References

[1] F. G. Abramson, L. A. Harrington. Models without indiscernibles. J. Symbolic Logic 43 (1978), 572–600.

[2] J. Adamek, H. Herrlich, G. E. Strecker. Abstract and Concrete Categories: The Joy of Cats. Dover Books on Mathematics, Dover Publications 2009

[3] M. Bodirsky. New Ramsey classes from old. Electron. J. Combin. 21 (2014), no. 2, #P2.22, 13pp.

[4] J. Böttcher, J. Foniok. Ramsey properties of permutations. Electron. J. Combin. 20(1) (2013).

[5] P. J. Cameron. Homogeneous permutations. Electron. J. Combin. 9(2) (2002).

[6] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild. Ramsey's theorem for n-parameter sets. Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. 159 (1971), 257–292.

[7] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, J. H. Spencer. Ramsey Theory (2nd Ed). John Wiley & Sons, 1990.

[8] J. Hubička, J. Nešetřil. All those Ramsey classes (Ramsey classes with closures and forbidden homomorphisms). Preprint, arXiv:1606.07979v1

[9] K. Leeb. The categories of combinatorics. Combinatorial structures and their applications. Gordon and Breach, New York (1970).

[10] E. Lehtonen. Reconstructing permutations from identification minors. Electronic J. Comb. 22(4) (2015), #P4.20

[11] D. Mašulović, L. Scow. Categorical equivalence and the Ramsey property for finite powers of a primal algebra. Algebra Universalis (to appear)

[12] J. Nešetřil. Ramsey theory. In: R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel and L. Lovász, eds, Handbook of Combinatorics, Vol. 2, 1331–1403, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1995.

[13] J. Nešetřil. Metric spaces are Ramsey. European Journal of Combinatorics 28 (2007), 457–468.

[14] J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl. Partitions of finite relational and set systems. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 22 (1977), 289–312.

[15] J. Nešetřil, V. Rödl. Combinatorial partitions of finite posets and lattices – Ramsey lattices. Algebra Universalis 19 (1984), 106–119.

[16] H. J. Prömel, B. Voigt. Hereditary atributes of surjections and parameter sets. Europ. J. Combinatorics 7 (1986), 161170.

[17] F. P. Ramsey. On a problem of formal logic. Proc. London Math. Soc. 30 (1930), 264–286.

[18] M. Sokić. Ramsey Properties of Finite Posets. Order, 29 (2012) 1–30.

[19] M. Sokić. Ramsey Properties of Finite Posets II. Order, 29 (2012) 31–47.

[20] M. Sokić. Directed graphs and boron trees. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 132 (2015), 142–171.